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Abstract

A total of 890 fish were examined for the bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) caught by the Taiwanese offshore longline fishing vessels from the tropical western Pacific Ocean and landed at the Tungkang fish market, November 1997 to November 1998 and in November-December 1999. The sex ratio was about 1:1 but males became predominant at sizes larger than 146 cm (fork length). Based on histological characters of ovaries, spawning occurred throughout the year with a peak season in February to September. The smallest mature female was 99.7 cm. The spawning frequency estimated with the postovulatory follicle method was at intervals of 1.10 days for females in spawning condition. This implies that bigeye tuna spawns almost every day. The average relative batch fecundity was 59.5 oocytes per gram of body weight.

Introduction

Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus Lowe, 1839) is a commercially important species of tuna inhabiting the warm waters of the Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific Oceans. They are found across the entire Pacific between northern Japan and the North Island of New Zealand in the west and from 40\(^o\)N to 30\(^o\)S in the east (Calkins, 1980; Matsumoto, 1998). Adult bigeye tuna are caught mainly by longlines, but substantial numbers of juveniles are taken by purse seines (Sun et al., 2001).

Taiwanese distant water tuna longline fleets have operated throughout the three oceans since the late 1960’s with albacore as the target species. In the early 1980’s, the Taiwanese began equipping their longliners in the Indian and Atlantic Oceans with very cold (-55 °C) freezers and deep longlines. These two developments allowed the Taiwanese to target bigeye tuna for the lucrative sashimi market in Japan. In the
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western Pacific the Taiwanese offshore longline fleets, whether based in domestic (Tungkang mainly) or foreign fishing ports, have landed more bigeye tuna than in the past (Sun et al., 2001).

Understanding and quantifying the reproductive potential of any species is of great importance in population dynamics and management models for this species (Schaefer, 1998). Limited papers have been presented on the aspects of reproductive biology for bigeye tuna in the Pacific Ocean. Yuen (1955) studied the maturity and fecundity of bigeye tuna for the central equatorial, western equatorial and Hawaiian area of the Pacific. Kikawa (1953, 1957, 1961 and 1966) studied the length of maturity, spawning period and spawning area for the Pacific bigeye tuna. Kume (1969a, 1969 b) also conducted spawning season, sex ratio, and spawning area research for Pacific bigeye tuna. Kume and Joseph (1966) studied the sex ratio and maturity at length for eastern Pacific bigeye tuna. Nikaido et al. (1991) studied the spawning time and frequency of bigeye tuna captured in the waters off Java (12°-14 °S, 109° -115° E) and of offshore of southwestern Hawaii (11° -13° N, 163° -176° W) respectively. Obviously, the reproductive biology for western Pacific bigeye tuna has not been studied since 1970. In Taiwan, only age and growth research has been conducted for the bigeye tuna (Sun et al., 2001).

The objectives of this study were to classify female bigeye tuna throughout the size range caught by the Taiwan offshore longline fishery in the western Pacific by reproductive status and to define with precision: (1) sex ratios; (2) spawning season; (3) spawning frequency; (4) batch fecundity; and (5) maturity length.

Material and Methods

Field Sampling and Processing

Specimens were collected monthly between November 1997 and November 1998 in the Tungkang fish market from the landings of the offshore longline fleets which operated in the western Pacific (Figure 1). Two additional samples were collected in November and December of 1999 due to too small sample sizes in November and December of 1997-1998. Random samples were drawn from one to two boats with respect to different fish size.

Fork length was measured with calipers to the nearest millimeter and body weight was measured with electronic balance to the nearest 0.1 kg. The gonads of female were removed and weighed to the nearest gram by using an electronic balance.
Small sections from the posterior portion of the gonad were removed and placed in a 250-ml jar with 10% neutral buffered formalin (Cellar et al., 1996) for histological purposes.

In order to obtain an unbiased sample of oocytes from ovaries, three sections (anterior, middle and posterior) with each about 3 cm in thick were taken from each ovary lobe of four reproductively active fish. Oocytes were teased from thawed wedges of each ovary section and the diameters of 300 oocytes (per section) were measured randomly using the Image-Pro Plus image analysis software package in combination with a dissecting microscope (model: Leica MZ6) equipped with a CCD camera (model: JVC TK-C1380) and a high-resolution computer monitor.

An ANOVA test found no significant difference in oocyte size either between ovary lobes (P>0.05) or among sections within ovary (P>0.05) (Table 1). Therefore, a sub sample consisting of a gonad weighing approximately 0.1 g was cut from the posterior portion of the right or left ovary lobe, placed on a glass slide, immersed in a 33% glycerol solution for about 10 minutes, and then teased apart with a blunt probe. The mean diameter (random axis) was determined from all oocytes per fish (with oocytes >150 μm). The histological processing (with minor modification), including the dehydration, clearing, infiltration, embedding, sectioning, staining and mounting, followed the methods used by Sheehan and Hrapchak (1973) and Stevens and Wilson (1996).

Data Analysis

Sex Ratio

Sex ratio was expressed by the proportion of males by month and by size class (2cm). Chi-square tests were used to test for any significant difference in sex ratio among months or sizes.

Spawning Season

The following criteria determined the spawning season:
1. Gonad somatic index (GSI):
   The GSI was calculated as follows (Kikawa, 1957):
   $$GSI = \frac{W}{FL^3 \times 10^4}$$
   where \(W\) = gonad weight (g); \(FL\) = fork length (cm).
2. Average mean oocyte diameter (D) per month:
The average mean oocyte diameter per month was determined by summing the individual specimen’s mean oocyte diameter (most advanced) by month and dividing the sum by the number of specimens collected in that month as follows (McDermott and Lowe, 1997):

\[ \overline{D_j} = \frac{\sum d_{ij}}{n_j} \]

where \( \overline{D_j} \) = average mean oocyte diameter in month j; \( d_{ij} \) = the mean diameter of the most advanced oocytes of specimen i in month j; and \( n_j \) = number of specimens in month j.

3. The female mature stage by the histological classification of ovaries:

The ovary of bigeye tuna is considered asynchronous because oocytes in various developmental stages are present in the ovary simultaneously (Wallace and Selman, 1981). For each ovary, the oocytes in the most-developed mode were classified as: (1) Undeveloped stage; (2) Early developing stage; (3) Later developing stage; (4) Mature stage; (5) Spawned stage; and (6) Spent stage by following the Hunter and Macewicz (1985) system, modified by Schaefer (1996) (Wallace and Selman, 1981; Nagahama, 1983; Hunter and Macewicz, 1985a; Schaefer, 1987, 1996 and 1998; McPherson, 1991; Nikaido et al., 1991).

Spawning frequency

Spawning frequency was determined by postovulatory follicle method suggested by Hunter and Macewicz (1985a) and applied to southern bluefin tuna by Farley and Davis (1998). This method uses the incidence of females with postovulatory follicles less than 24 hours old to define the fraction of the spawning population. The total number of spawning females divided by the total number of mature females yields the mean spawning fraction. Spawning frequency equals the inverse of the spawning fraction (McPherson, 1991).

Batch fecundity

The number of hydrated oocytes released per spawning was considered batch fecundity. The oocyte size-frequency method (Hunter et al., 1985) yielded the estimate for the number of oocytes in the most advanced spawning batch in the sub sample (this study used 0.1 g). We applied the gravimetric method to estimate total numbers of oocytes as follows:

\[ BF = GW \times \frac{\beta}{w} \]
where $BF =$ batch fecundity; $GW =$ gonad weight (g); $\beta =$ the numbers of oocytes in the sub sample, and $w =$ the weight of sub sample of gonad (= 0.1 g).

The relative fecundity is defined as fecundity divided by female weight (Hunter et al., 1992) as follows:

$$RF = \frac{BF}{W_f}$$

where $RF =$ relative fecundity, and $W_f =$ the weight of female (g).

Minimum Maturity Length

To determine the minimum maturity length, the exterior of the gonad was observed for spawning activity or spent, and further confirmed by checking the relevant histological examination.

Results

Sex Ratio

Fork lengths ranged from 84.9 to 174.4 cm for 380 female specimens and 87.6 to 173.7 cm for 508 male specimens. The proportion of males (Figure 2) had greater variation at sizes less than 146 cm. The proportion became significantly greater than 50% at sizes larger than 146 cm. Chi-square analysis of the sex ratio by month showed no significant deviation ($P> 0.05$) from the expected 1:1 ratio, but when the data are pooled, the overall ratio differs significantly from the expected 1:1 ratio. Grouping sizes into a 2 cm length class and then using Chi-square analysis of the sex ratio indicated a significant deviation ($P<0.05$) in classes greater than 146 cm, with males being more prevalent than females. The overall sex ratio for the sampling period deviated from the expected 1:1 ratio ($P<0.01$).

Spawning season

As mentioned before, the bigeye tuna spawning season was determined by the monthly variations in the mean GSI, the average mean diameter of the oocytes at the most advanced stage, and the proportion of specimens in various ovarian maturing stages.

1. Monthly mean GSI:

Figure 3 shows the monthly variations of mean GSI. A GSI value lower than 2.2 was obtained during the period from November 1997 to January 1998. In
February 1998, the GSI increased abruptly to 4.8. Since then, the GSI has increased slowly, reaching a maximum level of 5.7 in April 1998. After April 1998, the GSI decreased gradually until September. The GSI was 3.3 in September 1998. Then the GSI decreased sharply to 1.3 in October. The GSI were high in November and December 1999 compared to the same months of previous years.

2. Monthly mean oocyte diameter:

   The monthly variation in mean diameters of the most advanced stage of oocytes is shown in Figure 4. The monthly mean diameter was less than 400 μm during the period of November 1997 to January 1998. In February 1998, the mean diameter increased to 650 μm and then peaked at 730 μm in March. During April to September, the monthly mean diameter remained at a high and stable condition of above 600 μm. The mean diameter decreased sharply to around 230 μm in October. The mean diameter were high in November and December 1999 compared to the same months of previous years.

3. Monthly proportion of specimens in various ovarian maturity stages:

   Six maturity stages were identified for bigeye tuna. The GSI values and the mean oocyte diameters of each stage are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. The characteristics based on histological examination and the ranges of GSI and mean oocyte diameters of each stage are described as follows:

   1) Undeveloped Stage: The oocytes are in the oogonia stage, the chromatin nucleus stage, and the peri-nucleolus stage of oogenesis. The mean diameter was less than 105 μm. The GSI value was between 0.4-1.4.

   2) Early developing stage: The oocytes are in the yolk vesicle stage, the primary yoked globule stage, and the secondary yoked globule stage. The mean diameter ranged from 290 to 340 μm. The GSI varied from 1.0 to 2.0.

   3) Later developing stage: The oocytes are in the tertiary developing stage. The mean diameter ranged from 445 to 570 μm. The GSI was from 1.9 to 2.6.

   4) Mature stage: The oocytes are in the germinal vesicle migratory stage, the germinal vesicle breakdown stage, and the ovulation stage. The mean diameter was from 595 to 830 μm. The GSI ranged from 4.8 to 10.

   5) Spawned stage: Postovulatory follicles appear as an involuted structure. The mean diameter was from 490 to 975 μm. The GSI ranged from 1.6 to 9.6.

   6) Spent stage: The atretic oocyte appears, and the stage above the yolk globule
stage has not existed. Ovaries become shrunken and lumen of the ovary empty; occasionally eggs remain in the lumen. The mean diameter was 80 to 340 μm. The GSI was from 0.7 to 2.4.

The proportion of specimens in various ovarian maturity stages (Figure 7) shows that the western Pacific bigeye tuna spawned year round, and the main spawning period happened between February and September. This figure also shows that the spent stage occurred mainly from October to January.

**Spawning frequency**

Spawning frequency was estimated based on the presence of postovulatory follicles in histological examinations of ripe fish. Three stages for postovulatory follicles were decided by the degree of degenerating.

In early stage, the new postovulatory follicles have formed (near the time of spawning) and show no signs of degeneration. The thecal layer appears as an irregularly looped cord. The granulosa layer cells are arranged orderly along the edge of the lumen with cell walls usually evident and possessing prominent nuclei.

In middle stage, the postovulatory follicles show significant degeneration and have greatly shrunken. The thinly stretched thecal layer becomes thick. The linear arrangement of the granulosa layer cell nuclei becomes less distinct.

In late stage, the postovulatory follicles are absorbed completely and are not readily distinguished from atretic oocytes. In addition, only a few non-distinct granulosa cells remain.

Table 2 details the spawning frequency estimates based on histological examination of the three stages of postovulatory follicles for the western Pacific bigeye tuna. If total females (sample size is 237) are included in the spawning frequency estimate, the spawning fraction is 0.75 and the mean spawning interval is 1.34 days. If only ripe females (sample size equals 186) are included in spawning frequency estimate, then the spawning fraction is 0.95, giving a weighted mean spawning interval of 1.05 days. This value suggests that once a female starts spawning, she spawns daily.

**Batch fecundity**

The relationship between batch fecundity and length (Figure 8), and weight (Figure 9) for 129 female fish (one fish was not included due to too low fecundity) can be described by the following two equations, respectively:
\[
BF = 8.815 \times 10^{-4} FL^{4.419} \quad r^2 = 0.459; n = 129 \\
BF = 6.153 \times 10^1 W^{1.543} \quad r^2 = 0.459; n = 129 
\]

where BF = batch fecundity in number of oocytes; FL = fork length in centimeters; and \( W = \) body weight in kilograms.

The batch fecundities predicted from the first equation for bigeye tuna of 100 cm and 180 cm fork length are 845,000 and 11,848,000, respectively (Table 3). The estimated mean relative fecundity for 129 female fish was 59.5 oocytes per gram of body weight. The smallest and largest values were 16 and 150 oocytes per gram of body weight. This represents a large variance.

Minimum maturity length

In our study, we found that female bigeye tuna larger than 99.7 cm fork length have spawning activity or spent. These can be confirmed by checking the histological examination and observing on outside view of gonads. In our sample, the fork length ranged from 90.5 to 95.5 cm belonged to immature fish, because all the GSI values were less than 1.4, the maximum value for immature fish. Most of our sample’s fork lengths are larger than 100 cm; therefore, it is impossible to estimate a length at 50% maturity. This study only estimated the minimum maturity length (99.7 cm).

Discussions

Sex ratio

In this study, overall sex ratios deviate significantly from the expected 1:1 ratio. Sex ratio analysis by month does not indicate the observed deviations from the expected 1:1 ratio. Sex ratio analysis by length indicates a preponderance of male bigeye tuna greater than 146 cm in length. In almost all relevant studies, there were proportionally more males than females (Kataoka, 1957; Kume, 1969a, 1969b; Kume and Joseph, 1966; Stequert and Marsac, 1989; Nikaido et al, 1991). Schaefer (1987) mentioned a similar phenomenon for several other tuna species investigated; albacore (Otsu and Sumida, 1968), kawakawa (Williamson, 1970), skipjack (Marr, 1948; Brock, 1954; Raju, 1964), and yellowfin (Orange, 1961; Murphy and Shomua, 1972; Lenarz and Zweifel, 1979). Schaefer (1987) also mentioned that differentials in sex ratio with size classes have been suggested to be differences between males and females with respect to growth, mortality, or availability. Moreover, the almost complete absence of females within large size classes of tuna seems to be caused by
differential natural mortality, rather than differential growth or availability to capture. In discussing the natural mortality, Schaefer (1987) indicated that numerous possible causes of natural mortalities in larger fish, such as disease and spawning stress, which have not been investigated in this study.

**Spawning season**

All above observations suggest that bigeye tuna spawn year round with peaks in February to September. Kikawa (1961) studied the seasonality of maturation in bigeye tuna from 1951 through 1960 at 130 °E to 110 °W and 12 °N to 10 °S and found the main spawning season was from April to September throughout the entire equatorial Pacific, except for the eastern area south of equator, where spawning took place most intensively from January to March. Kikawa (1966) reported that western Pacific bigeye tuna spawn throughout the year, with peaks in June and September. These results are similar to our study.

**Spawning frequency**

Nikaido *et al.* (1991), using the postovulatory follicle method, estimated the spawning frequency for bigeye tuna in Java and Hawaii waters. Their results (1 to 1.1 days) are very similar to our results. Batalyants (1992) also estimated the spawning frequency for the Atlantic bigeye tuna during 1969-70, 1975, 1979, and 1990 by using the hydrated oocyte method. The mean spawning interval for each year showed little difference, 2.3 days in 1969-70, 3.1 days in 1975, 1.7 days in 1979, and 1.6 days in 1990.

Other tuna spawning frequency used similar methods as follows: Hunter *et al.* (1986) estimated 1.18 days for south Pacific skipjack tuna. McPherson (1991) estimated 1.54 days for Coral Sea yellowfin tuna. Schaefer (1996, 1998) estimated 1.14 and 1.52 days for eastern Pacific yellowfin tuna. Farley and Davis (1998) estimated 1.1 days for southern bluefin tuna. All of these studies’ results were similar to this study. Schaefer (1987) estimated 2.1 to 5.7 days for black skipjack tuna using the hydrated oocyte method. Obviously, this result differs from the other studies probably due to the use of different methods or the study of different species.

**Batch fecundity**

Table 3 lists the batch fecundity of bigeye and other tuna species within different size ranges. The number of eggs spawned per day varied from 0.85 (100 cm fork length) to 11.85 millions (180 cm fork length) in our study. Yuen (1955) observed
that the batch fecundity ranged from 2.9 to 6.3 million eggs for fish weighing between 39 and 102 kg. The batch fecundity estimated by Nikaido et al. (1991) in Hawaii waters ranged from 0.4 (100 cm fork length) to 4.7 (180 cm fork length) million eggs and 0.56 to 5.9 million eggs in Java waters. Our results are closer to Yuen’s (1955), but larger than Nikaido’s et al. (1991) (see Table 3). The Nikaido et al. (1991) differences may result from different study methods (oocyte size-frequency method vs. the hydrated oocyte method). Table 3 also shows the estimate of batch fecundity for yellowfin (Schaefer, 1996, 1998), southern bluefin tuna (Farley and Davis, 1998), and skipjack tuna (Goldberg and Au, 1986). The large variance of batch fecundity among these studies may be due to different species and the shape of the fish body.

In our study, the estimated mean relative fecundity was 59.5 oocytes per gram of body weight. This result was close to Yuen’s estimate (49.9 oocytes per gram of body weight), but larger than Nikaido’s et al. (1991) (31 oocytes per gram of body weight).

The estimated relative fecundity for yellowfin was 67.3 and 68 oocytes per gram of body weight (Schaefer 1996, 1998). For southern bluefin tuna, relative fecundity was 57 oocytes per gram of body weight (Farley and Davis, 1998), which is close to our estimate. However, for black skipjack, the mean relative fecundity was 13,699 and 106 oocytes per gram of body weight (Schaefer, 1987).

 Minimum maturity length

Kikawa (1957, 1961) studied the percentage of mature fish in the bigeye tuna population. Based on his results, 100 cm yielded the length with potential for sexually maturity. Yuen (1955) recorded a weight of 14 to 20 kg as the range necessary to attain sexual maturity. Kume (1962) recorded the minimum size for spawning as 92 cm in fork length, while Kume and Joseph (1966) estimated that bigeye tuna in the eastern Pacific reached maturity at 100 to 130 cm. McPherson (1988) and Nikaido et al., (1991) noted that some fish as small as 100 cm long could be mature. Most of above studies yielded similar results to ours. Our samples of offshore longliners showed that this fishery mainly catch mature bigeye tuna.
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Figure 1. Fishing grounds (dotted areas) of the bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* sampled in this study.

Figure 2. The length-frequency distribution of bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* sampled at the Tungkang fish market, November 1997 - December 1999.
Figure 3. Monthly variation in mean gonadosomatic index of female bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean, November 1997 - December 1999 (vertical bars, ranges; numbers above vertical bars, sample size).

Figure 4. Monthly variation in mean diameter of the most advanced stage of oocytes for female bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean, November 1997 – December 1999 (vertical bars, ranges; numbers above vertical bars, sample sizes).
Figure 5. Relationship between oocyte stages and gonadosomatic index of female bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean, September 1997 - December 1999 (Oocyte stage: 1, undeveloped stage; 2, early developing stage; 3, later developing stage; 4, mature stage; 5, spawned stage; 6, spent stage).

Figure 6. Relationship between oocyte stages and mean diameter of the advanced oocytes of female bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean, September 1997 - December 1999 (Oocyte stage: 1, undeveloped stage; 2, early developing stage; 3, later developing stage; 4, mature stage; 5, spawned stage; 6, spent stage).
Figure 7. Monthly variation in the proportion of various ovarian maturing stages of female bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean, November 1997 - December 1999 (numbers, sample size).
Figure 8. Relationship between batch fecundity and fork length of bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean.

Figure 9. Relationship between batch fecundity and weight for bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* in the western Pacific Ocean.
Table 1. Analysis of variance for the effect of sample locations of ovaries on the diameters of the most advanced stage oocytes of bigeye tuna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Mean diameter</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right ovary</td>
<td>Left ovary</td>
<td>Both ovaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right ovary</td>
<td>Left ovary</td>
<td>Both ovaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>451.13 ± 101.39</td>
<td>448.26 ± 98.24</td>
<td>449.70 ± 92.44</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>429.53 ± 112.43</td>
<td>429.17 ± 94.51</td>
<td>429.35 ± 96.15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>430.55 ± 104.26</td>
<td>421.62 ± 81.11</td>
<td>426.08 ± 86.61</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>437.67 ± 96.56</td>
<td>433.01 ± 83.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way analysis of variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ovary (left or right)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.9213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position within ovary</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2618.70</td>
<td>1309.35</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.8761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>77.72</td>
<td>38.86</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.9961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>176861.30</td>
<td>9825.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>179656.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Spawning fraction and spawning interval of bigeye tuna *Thunnus obesus* by month for all females and females in spawning condition in the western Pacific Ocean between September 1997 and December 1999 (POF, postovulatory follicles; *n*, number of fish in sample).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>n with POF's</th>
<th>Spawning fraction</th>
<th>Spawning interval (days)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>n with POF's</th>
<th>Spawning fraction</th>
<th>Spawning interval (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>258</td>
<td>188</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Comparison of the estimated batch fecundity for four species of tunas by different authors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size-range</th>
<th>Batch fecundity (thousand)</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bigeye tuna (T. obesus)</td>
<td>100 - 180 (cm)</td>
<td>845- 11,848</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 - 180 (cm)</td>
<td>403 - 4,689</td>
<td>Nikaido et al 1991 (Hawaii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 - 180 (cm)</td>
<td>564 - 5,902</td>
<td>Nikaido et al 1991 (Java)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 - 100 (kg)</td>
<td>1,824 - 7,500</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39 - 102 (kg)</td>
<td>2,900 - 6,300</td>
<td>Yuen 1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowfin tuna (T. albacares)</td>
<td>100 - 180 (cm)</td>
<td>1,199 - 18,767</td>
<td>Schaefer 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 - 180 (cm)</td>
<td>1,306 - 8,471</td>
<td>Schaefer 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 - 100 (kg)</td>
<td>2,668 - 6,445</td>
<td>Schaefer 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern bluefin tuna (T. maccyii)</td>
<td>150 - 250 (cm)</td>
<td>1,163 - 54,467</td>
<td>Farley and Davis 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis)</td>
<td>51 - 72 (cm)</td>
<td>130 - 978</td>
<td>Goldberg and Au 1986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>